

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

such evidence amounts to actual fraud. On the right to recover damages by one suffering from a disease or predisposition to disease, for aggravation of such condition caused by another's negligence, see Blomquist v. Minneapolis Furniture Co., 112 Minn. 143, 9 Mich. L. Rev. 521; Bray v. Latham, 81 Ga. 640, 8 S. E. 64; Louisville N. A. & C. Ry. Co. v. Snyder, 117 Ind. 435, 20 N. E. 284, 10 Am. St. Rep. 60, with note; Larson v. Boston Elevated Ry. Co. (Mass. 1912) 98 N. E. 1048. In the above cases the courts permitted recovery on the ground that a tort to health already impaired cannot be redressed except by giving damages for any further impairment, or for any interference with recovery, caused by the tort.

DEEDS—ASSIGNMENT OF RIGHT OF ENTRY BETWEEN HEIRS.—Land was conveyed with condition subsequent annexed and with right of entry reserved. The grantor died before breach of the condition. Plaintiff and three others are her present heirs. The three assigned their interests, after breach, to plaintiff, who sued for possession. Held, that the right of entry was assignable between the heirs, and plaintiff should recover the whole. Southwick v. N. Y. Missionary Society (N. Y. 1912) 135 N. Y. Supp. 392.

It was an accepted rule at the common law that a right of entry for breach of condition is not reservable in favor of a stranger, nor assignable, and can be taken advantage of only by the grantor and his heirs. LITT. 347; Coke, Litt. 214a; 2 Washburn, Real Property, 15; Tiedeman, Real Prop-ERTY, § 277. In a majority of the United States the rule of the common law is still adhered to. Skipwith v. Martin, 50 Ark. 141, 6 S. W. 514; McMahon v. Williams, 79 Ala. 288; Bd. of Education v. Baptist Church, 63 Ill. 204; Higbee v. Rodeman, 129 Ind. 244; Hayward v. Kinney, 84 Mich. 591; Winn v. Cole, Walk. (Miss.) 119; State v. L. S. Ry., 1 Oh. N. P. 292; Hooper v. Cummings, 45 Me. 359; Guild v. Richards, 16 Gray 309. Some States draw a distinction between an assignment before and after a breach. D. & S. F. Ry. v. School District, 14 Col. 327, 23 Pac. 978; Bouvier v. B. & N. Y. Ry. Co., 67 N. J. L. 281. Some States, by statute, allow an assignment of a right of entry. CAL., CIV. CODE, § 1046; N. J., Act of March 14, 1851; Southard v. C. Ry. Co., 26 N. J. L. 13; CONN. GEN. STAT., p. 471, § 1 of pgph. 15; Hoyt v. Ketcham, 54 Conn. 60. New York's statute, which permits the assignment or devise of future estates, has been held not to apply to the assignment of a right of entry reserved on the grant of a fee. Nicol v. N. Y & E. Co., 12 N. Y. 121; Van Rensalaer v. Ball, 19 N. Y. 100; Brenbroick v. St. Luke's Hospital, 155 N. Y. 655, 23 App. Div. 339. Other States hold such a right of entry reservable and assignable without statute. Pickle v. McKissick, 16 Pa. St. 140; Hamilton v. Kneeland, 1 Nev. 40. Especially an assignment after condition broken. Bouvier v. B. & N. Y. Ry. Co., 67 N. J. L. 281. The decision in the principal case is given without citation of authority, as an exception to the general rule, and no other case seems to touch upon this particular kind of assignment. For extended list of cases on the subject in general see Bouvier v. B. & N. Y. Ry Co., supra, 60 L. R. A. 754, note. See also note in 69 CENTRAL L. JOUR. 237.